June 30, 1989

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	I. INTRODUCTION	6
	A. Background	6
	B. Alternate Degradation Mechanisms	8
	II. EVIDENCE FROM THE DATA	10
	A. The Double-Shell Capsule Experience	10
	B. The Single-Shell Capsule Experience	12
	III. ANALYSIS	17
-	A. 2-D Implosion Calculations	17
-(		be
1	IV. DISCUSSION	
	A. Mechanisms and Models	34
	B. Implications	42
	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	44
	REFERENCES	45





LA-11616

June 30, 1989

This page intentionally left blank



LA-11616


June 30, 1989

#### THE ROLE OF DRIVE SYMMETRY IN ICF CAPSULE PERFORMANCE: DATA AND ANALYSIS (U)

by

Jeffrey D. Colvin and William S. Varnum

ABSTRACT (SRD)



We also discuss work in progress on mechanisms for drive asymmetry inducing performance degradation, including shear-induced Kelvin-Helmholtz instability growth and turbulence transport, and we also discuss the implications of our work on the directions of future research in ICF.

5


UNCLASSIFIED



SECRETAR

UNCLASSIFIED

June 30, 1989



UNCLASSIFIED


SECBETTAL

# UNCLASSIFIED SECRETBO

LA-11616 June 30, 1989

In summary, then, no 1-D model has yet been successful in providing a satisfactory picture of ICF capsule performance. We hypothesize that radiation drive asymmetry induces shear-driven hydrodynamic instabilities which dominate the degradation in small capsules. We discuss in this report the experimental and calculational evidence that supports this hypothesis.

#### II. EVIDENCE FROM THE DATA



10

UNCLASSIFIED (SECRET PO)

UNCLASSIFUE EZCHETAQ

June 30, 1989

b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED

SECRETAR


11

SECRETIAL


LA-11616

June 30, 1989

## UNCLASSIFIED



UNCLASSIFIED GECRETARD



SECRETAR

SECRETARD

LA-11616

June 30, 1989

b(5) 6(3) 16 UNCLASSIFIED

SECRETAR


LA-11616

June 30, 1989

b(3) y(3)

June 30, 1989

LA-11616



UNCLASSIFIED SECRETAD




June 30, 1989 LA-11616 613) b(3) This noise is no longer introduced at higher temperatures, but if it is at first introduced at lower temperatures it will grow with time because of the spherical convergence and Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities. Furthermore, the 2-D implosion calculations show that the fuel cavity asymmetry is approximately the same at equivalent times in the implosion (at least at early times) whether the drive asymmetry is imposed only on the foot of the pulse or only on the main pulse. 6(3) It is clear that an imposed percentage drive asymmetry only on the foot of the pulse has a comparable effect on the implosion symmetry to the same drive asymmetry imposed only on the main pulse. If the drive asymmetry is imposed on the whole pulse, the resulting fuel cavity asymmetry is larger? bis) 22

(SECRETAD UNCLASSIFIED

BECKELARD

LA-11616

June 30, 1989



UNCLASSIFIED

SECRELAD



LA-11616


June 30, 1989

b(3)

b(3)

As the center of the fuel heats up just before igni-

As the center of the fuel heats up just before ignition, the electron thermal conduction tends to symmetrize the hot spot. By ignition time, the hot spot is a spherical volume embedded inside the distorted fuel volume. Thus, the different hot spot formations in the equator-hot and pole-hot cases probably do not have any effect on capsule performance. The larger effect on capsule performance is likely to result from the different fuel cavity distortions produced in the two cases. We have found that equator-hot drive asymmetries produce greater fuel cavity distortion than do pole-hot drive asymmetries. We have also found that early-time drive asymmetries are as deleterious to implosion symmetry as late-time drive asymmetries.




UNCLASSIFIED

SECRETARD

UNCLASSIFIED SECRETARD

LA-11616

June 30, 1989



\$ECRETAL

June 30, 1989

6(3)

LA-11616

UNCLASSIFIED SECRETRO

## UNCLASSIFIED June 30, 1989 LA-11616 b(3) The pressure-driven LASNEX calculations give a result intermediate between these two 6(3) In addition, the pressure-driven calculations do not account for the geometrical smoothing that takes place for a radiation drive b(3) b(3) b(3) Accordingly, we do this problem in two steps. 613)

UNCLASSIFIED

6ZCRET/RP

•		• .	
UN	CI	ASSIFIE	
		LA-11616	



June 30, 1989

b(3)

Figure 15 is a schematic representation of how Hoffman's viewfactor calculations done.

1 / 6(3)

Account is taken of the different photon flight times to the capsule from different places on the wall, so that the flux arriving at a point on the capsule surface at a given time originated at different times from different surface zones. This integration is done as a function of latitude. The flux at the capsule surface is then decomposed into its Legendre components.

43)

Furthermore, these calculations assume an infinitesmal capsule size, so account is not taken of the variation in the viewfactors seen from an expanding capsule surface (the asymmetries are imposed at the capsule surface in the implosion calculations discussed in the preceeding section).

b13

This asynchrony is equivalent to a P<sub>1</sub> flux asymmetry at the capsule. This P<sub>1</sub> asymmetry was calculated in a manner very similar to that described above.

p(3)

b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED




31



June 30, 1989


b(3) b(3)

UNCLASSIFIED





June 30, 1989



UNCLASSIFIED



33

UNCLASSIFIED
LA-11616



June 30, 1989

b13) b(3) JNCLASSI

34

SECRETARD

LA-11616

June 30, 1989

We do not know, however, what the mechanism is for drive asymmetries inducing turbulence, mix, and hence performance degradation. This is the major missing piece in support of our contention that shear-induced instabilities, rather than acceleration-induced instabilities, are the dominant cause of performance degradation in ICF capsules. Some work is now being expended in understanding and evaluating various mechanisms and developing models; this work will be the subject of a future report.

b(3)

b(3)

In any model of mix and degradation based on acceleration-induced instabilities, most of the instability growth and mix at the fuel/pusher interface occurs during the time when the compressionally-heated, lower-density fuel decelerates the colder, higher-density pusher. Thus, the amount of instability growth and mix is roughly proportional to the distance the fall-line moves in the time from peak pusher velocity to stagnation. The fall-line is the trajectory the fuel/pusher interface would follow if it were to continue moving inward at its peak velocity.

5(3)

b13

UNCLASSIFIED

SECRETARD /

LA-11616


June 30, 1989

b(3) 6(3) 38

EZCRETARD

LA-11616

June 30, 1989





LA-11616

June 30, 1989

b(3)

b(3)

FThe

evidence from the data in support of this hypothesis is, as we have already shown, very compelling. Unlike the situation for acceleration-induced instabilities, however, we do not have calculational models to bring to bear on this question and to produce performance predictions that we can test with experiment. Thus, we do not yet have a complete and fully self-consistent picture of ICF capsule performance. This is a serious deficiency, and we hope that more future resources of the ICF research effort can be devoted to removing this deficiency. Nevertheless, we feel much like Richard Feynman did in describing his quantum-mechanical model for hadron-hadron collisions: "I am more sure of the conclusions," wrote Feynman<sup>23</sup>, "than of any single argument which suggested them to me."

What are the mechanisms then, that cause instabilities, turbulence, and/or mixand hence performance-degradation from drive asymmetries? One possibility is that momentum exchange of material in the lateral direction at the sheared interface leads to an enhancement of the collisional mean free path in the radial direction, as in Kelvin-Helmholtz instability growth

ı, as

In addition, turbulent flow will alter the transport properties of the plasma, as illustrated schematically in Fig. 21.

In short, turbulence leads to "spoilage" of the hot spot and an enhanced transport of heat out of the fuel. Thus, in a complete turbulence transport model one must account for momentum, heat, and mass transfer effects.

p(3)

1(3)

All of this work will be discussed

in a future report. Until then we ask only that the reader not dismiss our hypothesis



LA-11616

June 30, 1989




Fig. 21. A schematic representation of the mechanisms for turbulence-induced performance degradation.





LA-11616

June 30, 1989

6(3)

713)

as having no merit, even though it is as yet unproven, until all the evidence is in from these model calculations.

#### B. Implications

If our hypothesis is indeed correct, and shear-induced rather than acceleration-induced instabilities are responsible for observed ICF capsule performance degradation, then this is good news for ICF. It means that the source of degradation is extrinsic rather than intrinsic to the capsule, and can potentially be eliminated, opening the way for as calculated high-gain performance at low energy. The surprising result from this study, however, is that the sensitivity of capsule performance to drive asymmetries is much greater than we had thought previously.

We have already discussed these calculational shortcomings and uncertainties in Sec. III. These calculational uncertainties make it virtually impossible for us at this time to extrapolate these results with any confidence to other parameter regimes. In particular, we do not know what the symmetry requirements are for reactor-scale capsules that have higher convergence ratios at lower absorbed

energy (<10 MJ); they may indeed be more severe.

With future laser drivers for ICF, peak driving temperatures attainable in laser-driven hohlraums will be  $\lesssim 250$  eV. We have no information on the effects of peak drive temperature on implosion symmetry. These are all issues that must be resolved before we can confidently specify driver requirements for ICF.

Resolving these issues will take a broad-based calculational and experimental approach. As for experiments, we must first do a carefully controlled symmetry experiment to test our hypothesis that drive asymmetries are the principal cause of performance degradation.

Hence, we need to do good numerical experiments as well. To do these numerical experiments requires, in turn, further developments in our calcula-

UNCLASSIFIED

SECRETARD

SECRETAD

June 30, 1989

tional tools.

]\b(3)

We need to verify that 2-D Lagrangian hydrodynamics with nonuniform angular zoning is being done correctly; if not, we must find a way to do it correctly. Further improvements are needed in the viewfactor code. More development is required of the turbulence models, and a better understanding in general of the interplay between shear-induced instabilities and turbulence.

613

In conclusion, although we are still far from our goal of having a complete enough understanding of capsule physics to have confidence that ICF will work in the parameter regimes we wish it to, we think this work represents an important step forward in our understanding. We hope that it will inspire the efforts that are needed to get us to our goal.



BECRETAD

LA-11616

June 30, 1989

		REFERENCES	
Í	1.		b(3)
<b>\</b>	2.	Varnum, W. S., "Effects of Transit Time Delays on Small Capsule Symmetry," Los Alamos National Laboratory Memorandum X-1-80-26, August 1980 (SRD).	
	3.		b
	5.	Youngs, D. L., "Numerical Simulation of Turbulent Mixing by Rayleigh-Taylor Instability," Physica 12D, 32-44, 1984; Reed, K. I., "Experimental Investigation of Turbulent Mixing by Rayleigh-Taylor Instability," Physica 12D, 45-58, 1984.	
	6.		b
	7.	Cranfill, C. W., W. P. Crowley, D. L. Youngs, P. E. Stry, "Comparative Analysis of TP-22 Results," in <i>Proc. of JOWOG-42 Meeting (May 9-13, 1988)</i> , Los Alamos National Laboratory Report, in press, 1989 (SRD).	
	8.	Colvin, J. D., "Instability Analysis of Experiments," paper presented at JOWOG-37 Meeting, A.W.E., Aldermaston, U.K., 1984; Los Alamos National Laboratory Technical Memo X-1-84-14, 1984 (SRD).	<b>9</b>
	9.		b(3)
	10.	Haan, S. W., "The Onset of Nonlinear Saturation for Rayleigh-Taylor Growth in the Presence of a Full Spectrum of Modes," Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory Report UCRL 98206, June 1988 (U); to appear in <i>Phys. Rev. A</i> .	
The state of the s	11.		р (3)

UNCLASSIFIED

SECRETAG

## UNCLASSIFIED SECRETURD

LA-11616 Distribution June 30, 1989

S. V. Coggeshall, X-1, MS E531

J. D. Colvin, X-1, MS E531

M. Cray, X-1, MS E531

H. N. Fisher, X-1, MS E531

G. S. Fraley, X-1, MS E531

N. M. Hoffman, X-1, MS E531

R. D. Jones, X-1, MS E531

R. C. Kirkpatrick, X-1, MS E531

G. R. Magelssen, X-1, MS E531

W. C. Mead, X-1, MS E531

W. S. Varnum, X-1, MS E531

C. A. Wingate, X-1, MS E531

J. M. Wallace, X-1, MS E531

C. A. Lemons, X-1 File, MS E531

P. J. Adams, X-2, MS B220

S. J. Hansen, X-2, MS B220

J. Mercer-Smith, X-2, MS B220

M. M. Wood, X-2, MS B220

A. J. Scannapieco, X-4, MS F664

J. L. Richter, X-5, MS F669

M. Clover, X-7, MS B257

E. J. Linnebur, X-7, MS B257

L. R. Foreman, MST-7, MS E549

W. C. Anderson, P-DO, MS D408

A. Hauer, P-4, MS E554

R. H. Day, P-14, MS D410

R. H. Blake, P-14, MS D410

R. G. Hockaday, P-14, MS D410

E. W. Bennett, P-14, MS D410

N. S. P. King, P-15, MS D406

R. B. Walton, P-15, MS D406

M. L. Stelts, P-15, MS D406

R. Haight, P-15, MS D406

H. Ruppel/E. D. Arthur, T-DO, MS B210

J. C. Solem, T-DO, MS B210

J. W. Hopson, T-3, MS B216

N. L. Johnson, T-3, MS B216



LA-11616



72A-77A

78A-92A

June 30, 1989 Distribution

F. H. Harlow, T-3, MS B216

S. A. Colgate, T-6, MS B275

R. Menikoff, T-14, MS B214

G. G. Hill/L. F. Luehring, WX-DO, MS P945

M. E. Kenyon, WX-1, MS C936

Sandia National Laboratories

Attn: Mail Services Section

P. O. Box 5800

Albuquerque, NM 87185

For: Technical Library

G. Allshouse, Org. 1265, MS A904

P. Mix, Org. 1264, MS A904

F. Perry, Org. 1263, MS A904

J. P. Vandevender, Org. 1200, MS A904

M. Widner, Org. 1601, MS A904

University of California

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Attn: Technical Information Department

P. O. Box 808

Livermore, CA 94550

For: Library Reports Section (2 copies)

R. Bangerter, L-477

T. Bernat, LLNL, L-482

T. R. Dittrich, L-477

S. W. Haan, L-477

L. Lindl, LLNL, L-477

W. V. Morgan, L-41

J. H. Nuckolls, L-1

S. Pollaine, L-477

E. Storm, L-481

P. Stry, L-13

M. Tabak, L-477

S. Weber, L-477

J. Woodworth, L-482

Director

Defense Nuclear Agency Attn: SSAB (2 copies)

Washington, DC 20305

93A-94A

